InfoSight Highlight

Advertising Overdraft Programs

The NCUA addresses overdraft program disclosure requirements, regardless of whether a credit union promotes the payment of overdrafts, in Part 707.

All credit unions that charge fees for paying overdrafts are required to disclose them on the periodic statement, both for the statement period and for the year-to-date. The disclosure does not have to be provided if no fees have been charged (e.g., the credit union would not have to disclose "\$0" for the statement period or year-to-date).

The disclosure must be made in a tabular format and be near the other itemized fees. The final rule includes a sample form for making this disclosure. Credit unions may either use the term "returned item fee" or "NSF fee" on the form to describe the fee for returning items unpaid. The regulations require that the periodic statement disclosure be provided in tabular format, as illustrated in NCUA's model form B-12.

Periodic Statements

The credit union must separately disclose on each periodic statement:

- The total dollar amount for all fees or charges imposed on the account for paying checks or other items when there are insufficient funds and the account becomes overdrawn, using the term "Total Overdraft Fees;" and
- The total dollar amount for all fees imposed on the account for returning items unpaid.

The overdraft services covered do not include a service providing for the transfer of funds from another share account of the member to permit the payment of items without creating an overdraft, even if a fee is charged for the transfer.

These disclosures must be provided for the statement period and for the calendar year to date.

The aggregate fee disclosures required must be disclosed in close proximity to the other itemized fees, using a format substantially similar to Sample Form B-12 in Appendix B of NCUA's Rules & Regulation.

InfoSight Compliance eNEWSLETTER March 17, 2017 Vol. 11, Issue 11

Created in partnership with the



Compliance Videos

Q4 2016 Overview and Q1 2017 Changes Coming

In this recent video, Glory LeDu reminds us of the regulatory changes that became effective in the 4th quarter of 2016 (which includes the DELAY of the DOL Overtime rules). Glory also provides a review of the changes effective in the 1st Quarter of 2017 including the updates to Member Business Lending and the new requirements for HMDA reporting for 2017. This also includes the updated threshold changes effective on 1/1/2017.

Member Business Lending

<u>This video</u> provides the details you will need to know to comply with the NCUA's

For additional information, <u>click here for the topic</u>.

Review the information today to help your credit union remain in compliance.

Compliance News

CFPB Proposes Delay of Prepaid Accounts Rule

The CFPB has <u>announced</u> a <u>proposal</u> to delay for six months the effective date of its <u>final rule</u> governing prepaid accounts. The Bureau indicated it has learned that some industry participants believe they will have difficulty complying with certain provisions of the rule by the current October 1, 2017, effective date. The CFPB is requesting comments about any implementation challenges that may affect consumers, and how additional time will impact industry, consumers, and other stakeholders. Comments will be due 21 days after the request is published in the Federal Register.

Also, the Bureau has made available on its <u>implementation and guidance</u> <u>page</u> for the Prepaid Account Rule a <u>guide to preparing the short form</u> <u>disclosure</u> for prepaid accounts. This disclosure guide is based on Regulation E's Model Form A-10(c) and provides basic "how to" instructions to help financial institutions prepare short form disclosures for prepaid accounts other than government benefit accounts or payroll card accounts.

Source: CFPB

MBL Guidance: Section 723.5 - Collateral

Credit unions that grant commercial loans must require sufficient collateral to protect against the risk of loss in the event of a borrower default (but collateral should not be the sole basis for granting a loan). So what exactly does that mean? NCUA has been touting this new rule as a shift away from the prescriptive collateral and LTV requirements, thus giving credit unions more flexibility to make their own decisions regarding what constitutes sufficient collateral. The guidance states that the amount of collateral needed will be determined by the creditworthiness of the borrower and the marketability of the collateral. Member Business Lending rules.

Compliance Calendar

April, 2017

- April 10th, 2017:
 <u>Fiduciary Rule</u>
 (Department of
 <u>Labor</u>) Compliance
 date DELAYED
- April 30th, 2017: <u>5300 Call Report Due</u> <u>to NCUA</u>

May, 2017

• May 29th, 2017: Memorial Day -Federal Holiday

July, 2017

- July 4th, 2017: Independence Day -Federal Holiday
- July 30th, 2017: <u>5300</u> Call Report Due to <u>NCUA</u>

September, 2017

- September 4th, 2017: Labor Day - Federal Holiday
- September 15th, 2017: <u>Same-day</u> <u>ACH (NACHA) –</u> <u>Phase 2 of the</u> <u>implementation</u> period for the rule.

October, 2017

Additionally, to confirm, value, manage, and control collateral, credit unions must have policies and procedures in place:

- Standards should set forth requirements for establishing an enforceable and protected lien position
- Procedures should determine if property offered as collateral has been affected by contamination/hazardous material
- Policies should factor in the size and complexity of the loan transaction and should also address:
 - The level of environmental due diligence required;
 - Acceptable collateral;
 - Collateral valuation method for each type of collateral; and
 - \circ Acceptable LTV for each type of collateral

NCUA rules require credit unions to establish internal LTV ratio limits, which should be based on internal risk management analysis and accepted financial industry standards. The former prescriptive requirements for LTV ratios have been eliminated to allow credit unions to make their own risk-based decision. NCUA does not require CUs to meet the bank regulatory standards for LTV guidelines for real estate but views them as reasonable benchmarks as they reflect common industry practice. The MBL guidance contains of list of the LTV Ratio Guidelines for each types of collateral, so this is a good place to start when setting internal limits. When a credit union decides to exceed these limits, they should be able to justify why (strong borrower, highly marketable collateral, etc.) Further, the guidance also states that when calculating LTV for an acquisition transaction, it is sound practice to establish the collateral value to be the lesser of: (1) acquisition cost (purchase price) plus improvements, or (2) appraised value. These are just a few things to keep in mind when determining whether there is sufficient collateral to protect against the risk associated with commercial lending transactions. For more information, please see the collateral section in the MBL Examiner's Guide, available here.

"Share Draft" vs. "Checking Account"

Because federal credit unions pay dividends on their deposit accounts, rather than interest, the use of the terms to describe accounts is important.

This seems like a good time to review the Truth-in-Savings <u>Official Staff</u> <u>Commentary</u> on this topic:

- October 1st, 2017: <u>Prepaid Accounts</u> <u>under the Electronic</u> <u>Fund Transfer</u> <u>Act/Regulation E and</u> <u>the Truth In Lending</u> <u>Act/Regulation Z</u>
- October 3rd, 2017: <u>Military Lending Act</u> <u>for Credit Cards</u>
- October 9th, 2017: Columbus Day -Federal Holiday
- October 19th, 2017: <u>Amendments to the</u> <u>2013 Mortgage Rules</u> <u>under the Real Estate</u> <u>Settlement</u> <u>Procedures Act -</u> <u>Regulation X and the</u> <u>Truth in Lending Act</u> <u>-Regulation Z</u>
- October 29th, 2017: <u>5300 Call Report Due</u> <u>to NCUA</u>

Compliance Training

Regulatory Compliance Training

<u>Cybersecurity</u> – Intrusion threats and vulnerabilities (recorded webinar)

CUNA AND CUNA Webinars

CUNA offers hundreds of online training events that make it easy for you to learn right at your desk. Whether you are looking for a Generally, it is not the purpose of the TIS regulation to prohibit specific descriptive terms for accounts. For example, credit unions can use adjectives and trade names to describe accounts such as "Best Share Draft Account," or "Ultra Money Market Share Account." Synonyms for share, share draft, money market share, and term share accounts may be used to describe various types of credit union share and deposit accounts as long as the synonym is accurate and not misleading and, for account disclosures, is used in conjunction with the correct legal term. For example, the following synonyms may be used:

- The term "checking account" may be used to describe share draft accounts.
- The term "money market account" may be used to describe money market share accounts.
- The term "savings account" may be used to describe regular share and share accounts.
- The terms "share certificate," "certificate account," or "certificate" may be used to describe share certificates and other dividend-bearing term share accounts.

However, under no circumstances may a credit union describe a share account as a deposit account, or vice versa. For example, the term "certificate of deposit" or "CD" may not be used to describe share certificates and other dividend-bearing term share accounts. Similarly, the terms "time account" and "time deposit" may not be used to describe term share accounts. (<u>12 CFR 707.2(a)</u>)

Q: We are a state chartered credit union and use the term "certificate of deposit", because our state law allows us to. Are we violating the federal Truth in Savings Act (TISA)?

A: No. While federal credit unions may only offer dividend-bearing and non-dividend-bearing share accounts, TISA notes that "state-chartered credit unions may offer both share and deposit accounts, if permitted by their state law."

State law, including regulations and official interpretations, will determine if returns earned on accounts in state-chartered credit unions are dividends. As a result, state chartered credit unions may be permitted to offer interest bearing deposit term accounts (certificates of deposit), even though they are prohibited for federal credit unions.

Source: CUNA Compliance Blog

beginner course or want a comprehensive understanding on a specific topic, CUNA webinars, audio conferences and eSchools have what you need.

<u>Click here</u> for updates on compliance, operations, lending topics and more!

FinCEN Issues SAR Stats Technical Bulletin

The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) has issued full Suspicious Activity Report (SAR) statistics through Dec. 31, 2016, reflecting data nationwide and by individual states and territories. This edition contains a new feature—where County and Micro & Metropolitan Statistical Area data is concerned, percentage change(s) in filing rates between the last two full calendar years (2015 & 2016) have been added.

Note: the Interactive SAR Stats (accessible via FinCEN's homepage) displays filing rates of specific SAR data from March 1, 2012 through the latest month of the current year.

<u>Click here</u> for the SAR Stats Technical Bulletin. <u>Click here</u> for the Interactive SAR Stats.

Source: FinCEN

Advocacy Highlight

Credit Unions, Trades Meet with Federal Regulators on MLA

Numerous credit unions met with representatives from the Department of Defense (DOD), CFPB, and NCUA to discuss credit union specific issues related to implementation of the Military Lending Act (MLA). In particular, the challenges around such items as calculating the MAPR for open-end loans, equity out or negative balance financing, oral disclosures, the relationship between MLA and Regulation Z as well as the numerous issues surrounding the upcoming compliance deadline for credit cards.

While the DOD would not commit to any timeline or guidance at the meeting, they did indicate they welcome industry input and are working on possible approaches to providing additional guidance and/or delays in compliance. They further indicated their understanding of the urgency for further clarification on the MLA rules. The credit unions present were actively engaged with the regulators in articulating their compliance challenges and burdens. Also present at the meeting were NAFCU and the Defense Credit Union Council.

CUNA most recently outlined specific concerns in its <u>letter</u> to DOD last month. CUNA will continue to press the DOD to issue clarification on the numerous lingering issues and will continue to press for credit card guidance to be issued as soon as possible so that credit unions can meet the upcoming compliance.

CUNA Files a Joint Amicus Brief in Case on CFPB Structure

CUNA recently joined several other groups in filing an amicus brief in a court case that originally found that the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's structure was <u>unconstitutional</u>, however, this decision has since been vacated. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit granted the CFPB's petition for an "en banc" re-hearing of the case, meaning it will be heard before all judges of the court. Oral arguments are scheduled for May 24, 2017.

The PHH decision last year was a landmark ruling, which if it stood, would have changed the accountability structure of the CFPB. The court found that, "As an independent agency with just a single Director, the CFPB represents a sharp break from historical practice, lacks the critical internal check on arbitrary decision-making, and poses a far greater threat to individual liberty than does a multi-member independent agency." It further added that, "All of that raises grave constitutional doubts about the CFPB's single-Director structure."

It also struck language from the Dodd-Frank Act saying the CFPB director can only be removed with cause. The most important takeaway from the rule being vacated is that it means the President cannot remove the CFPB Director "at-will" unless the Court rules on this issue again.

While the review is not limited to these points, the court directed the parties to address the following issues in briefs:

- 1. Is the CFPB's structure as a single-Director independent agency consistent with Article II of the Constitution and, if not, is the proper remedy to sever the for-cause provision of the statute?
- 2. May the court appropriately avoid deciding that constitutional question given the panel's ruling on the statutory issues in this case?
- 3. If the en banc court, which has today separately ordered en banc consideration of Lucia v. SEC, 832 F.3d 277 (D.C. Cir. 2016), concludes in that case that the administrative law judge who handled that case was an inferior officer rather than an employee, what is the appropriate disposition of this case?

CUNA has decided to participate on a joint trade association amicus brief that focuses on the non-Constitutional issues in the case (as other amici will be providing arguments on the constitutional issues in the case). There will be several financial trade associations signing on to this amicus brief. The brief applauds the Court for the previous decision it rendered on the RESPA, statute of limitations, and retroactivity issues and discusses the need for regulatory certainty and due process, noting that RESPA is one of the most important statutes that govern the mortgage industry.

In addition to closely following PHH v. CFPB, CUNA has been advocating for a change to CFPB's leadership structure to a 5-person commission, so that more voices are included in the debate. The change is one of the primary goals of CUNA's bipartisan, pro-consumer Campaign for Common-Sense Relief.

Source: CUNA Removing Barriers Blog

CUNA Advocacy Update

The <u>CUNA Advocacy Update</u> is published at the beginning of every week and keeps you on top of the most important changes in Washington for credit unions--and what CUNA is doing to monitor, analyze, and influence government agencies and federal law. Additional Advocacy efforts may also be found under CUNA's <u>Removing Barriers</u> blog.

ComplySight: A Complete Compliance Management and Tracking System

What can ComplySight do for your credit union? It is central site that allows your credit union to:

- review regulations and laws to assess the level of compliance within your own organization;
- manage regulatory requirements and the associated internal organizational communications;
- assign and track the activities needed to achieve or maintain compliance; and
- keep current on regulatory alerts and updates.

Click here to see six more ways ComplySight can help your credit union!

ComplySight Training is Available!

Not sure how to get started, or want a refresher on how to use ComplySight? Or are you interested in seeing more of how ComplySight works? We are excited to make available recorded webinars to help you get the most out of ComplySight! We currently have seven training modules available! The ComplySight training webinars are available at any time, and registration is not required. <u>Click here to start training</u> today!

ComplySight: 30 Day Free Trial!

If you're interested in a "trial run" of ComplySight, League InfoSight is offering a free, 30-day trial so you can see the benefits first-hand. It's easy to get started. Just visit us online and click on Free Trial Offer.